



Published in final edited form as:

J Occup Environ Med. 2014 March ; 56(3): e2–e3. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000000118.

Do Co-Morbid Ulnar Symptoms Or Ulnar Neuropathy Affect The Prognosis Of Workers With Carpal Tunnel Syndrome?

Alexis Descatha, MD*,

Univ Versailles St-Quentin, F-78035, Versailles, France; Inserm, Centre for research in Epidemiology and Population Health (CESP), U1018, "Population-Based Epidemiological Cohorts" Research Platform, F-94807, Villejuif, France; AP-HP, Occupational Health Unit/EMS (Samu92), University hospital of West suburb of Paris, Poincaré site, F92380 Garches, France

Ann Marie Dale, PhD,

Division of General Medical Sciences, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

Angelique Zeringue, MSc, and

Division of General Medical Sciences, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

Bradley Evanoff, MD MPH

Division of General Medical Sciences, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

To the Editor,

Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) is a common and costly disease among working-aged adults, and a leading cause of work-related disability,¹ affected almost 5 million U.S. workers, with prevalence estimated between 3.1% and 7.8%.² While prognosis following surgery has been described,^{3,4} many questions exist about predictors of CTS prognosis in working populations.⁵ The presence of ulnar symptoms or neuropathy have been considered predictive of a poor outcome in CTS cases. We aimed to describe three-year evolution of CTS with and without ulnar symptoms in a large cohort of worker.

This study presents data collected prospectively on 1107 newly hired workers in the US, recruited from participating companies recruited from eight employers and three trade unions, representing manufacturing, construction, biotechnology, and healthcare, between July 2004 and October 2006⁶ and followed for three years (n=888). Subjects came from three main occupational groups: construction apprentices, office and laboratory workers and service workers/housekeepers.⁶ At baseline, subjects answered questions about the presence of hand pain in the past year (occurring 3 times or lasting at least one week), and the nature and location of symptoms.

*Corresponding author: alexis.descatha@uvsq.fr Tel: + 33147107764 Fax + 33147107768.

Bilateral nerve conduction studies were performed for median and ulnar nerves at the wrist using the NC-Stat automated nerve conduction testing device (NEUROMetrix, Inc., Waltham, MA). The NC-Stat device followed an automated testing protocol to measure median and ulnar distal motor latencies (wrist-thenar eminence and wrist-hypothenar eminence) and distal sensory latencies (wrist-third finger and wrist-fifth finger).⁷ Abnormal median nerve conduction was defined as sensory latency >3.5 ms (14 cm) OR motor latency >4.5 ms OR median-ulnar sensory latency difference (MUDS) of > 0.5 ms (14 cm). For ulnar nerve, we used sensory OR motor latency above the 95th percentile. Subjects were categorized according to baseline data into mutually exclusive groups (see table 1). Workers with symptoms of CTS with and without fifth finger involvement were also described, including those with confirmed CTS and confirmed ulnar syndrome (symptoms AND abnormal nerve studies)⁴.

Three outcomes were used at follow-up: “severe hand pain”, defined as hand pain within the past 30 days with a rating of 5 or higher on a scale of 0 (no discomfort) to 10 (worst discomfort imaginable); “functional status limitations” assessed via the Levine Functional Status Scale; and “job limitation”, a dichotomous composite outcome that included all workers who reported a limitation attributed to hand symptoms in one or more of the following areas: 1) limited ability to work, 2) decreased productivity, 3) lost time from work, 4) placed on job restrictions, and a 5) change in job or employer.

Among the 888 workers followed, baseline mean age was 30.3 years (range 18-66 years,) and 63.9% were men.. CTS prevalence and incidence was similar to that reported in other worker populations.^{11–13} From table 1, ulnar neuropathy at the wrist was associated with CTS in only 4 of the 21 CTS cases at follow-up. These workers had a slightly higher proportion of severe hand pain but similar limitations. Over half of the subjects with symptoms of CTS had symptoms in the fifth finger (n=45/79), without differences on the other outcomes, and only one worker had a confirmed CTS and ulnar syndrome.

Our study was limited by the small number of cases, and by use of the NC-Stat automated nerve testing device⁷, which limited our testing of ulnar neuropathy to the wrist. Nonetheless, our findings provide an interesting perspective. In clinical settings, some case reports suggest that associated median and ulnar neuropathies affect prognosis after nerve release.^{38–10} However, our results are consistent with a recent study of ulnar neuropathy at the elbow; while 60.5% of subjects had persistent symptoms at follow-up, there were no differences in disability and symptom severity seen among the 5% of patients with ulnar neuropathy who had comorbid CTS.¹⁴

Ulnar neuropathy is relatively understudied, and future research is needed from large prospective studies to determine the prognosis, management, and prevention of ulnar neuropathies from entrapment at the wrist or elbow.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by CDC/NIOSH (grant # R01OH008017-01) and by the Washington University Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences Award (CTSA) (grant # UL1 TR000448), from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of NIOSH, NCATS, or NIH.

References

1. Bland JDP. Carpal tunnel syndrome. *BMJ*. 2007; 335:343–346. [PubMed: 17703044]
2. Luckhaupt SE, Sweeney MH, Sestito JP, et al. What is the true prevalence of carpal tunnel syndrome among US workers? *Scand J Work Environ Health*. Published Online First: 11 October 2013.
3. Turner A, Kimble F, Gulyás K, et al. Can the outcome of open carpal tunnel release be predicted?: a review of the literature. *ANZ J Surg*. 2010; 80:50–54. [PubMed: 20575880]
4. Shi Q, MacDermid JC. Is surgical intervention more effective than non-surgical treatment for carpal tunnel syndrome? A systematic review. *J Orthop Surg*. 2011; 6:17.
5. Descatha A, Dale AM, Franzblau A, et al. Natural history and predictors of long-term pain and function among workers with hand symptoms. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Published Online First: 14 February 2013.
6. Armstrong TN, Dale AM, Franzblau A, et al. Risk Factors for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome and Median Neuropathy in a Working Population. *J Occup Env Med*. 2008; 50:1355–1364. [PubMed: 19092490]
7. Armstrong TN, Dale AM, Al Lozi MT, et al. Median and ulnar nerve conduction studies at the wrist: criterion validity of the NC-stat automated device. *JOcupEnvironMed*. 2008; 50:758–764.
8. Vimercati L, Lorusso A, L'abbate N, et al. Bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome and ulnar neuropathy at the elbow in a pizza chef. *BMJ Case Rep*. 2009 2009.
9. Desmoineaux P, Nezry N, Prové S, et al. Libération endoscopique du nerf ulnaire au coude technique – résultats. *Chir Main*. 2004; 23(Supplement 1):S128–S133.
10. Ozdemir O, Calisaneller T, Gulsen S, et al. Ulnar nerve entrapment in Guyon's canal due to recurrent carpal tunnel syndrome: case report. *Turk Neurosurg*. 2011; 21:435–437. [PubMed: 21845587]
11. Atroshi I, Gummesson C, Johnsson R, et al. Prevalence of carpal tunnel syndrome in a general population. *JAMA*. 1999; 282:153–158. [PubMed: 10411196]
12. Dale AM, Harris-Adamson C, Rempel D, et al. Prevalence and incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome in US working populations: pooled analysis of six prospective studies. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2013; 39:495–505. [PubMed: 23423472]
13. Roquelaure Y, Ha C, Pelier-Cady MC, et al. Work increases the incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome in the general population. *Muscle Nerve*. 2008; 37:477–482. [PubMed: 18236454]
14. Svendsen SW, Johnsen B, Fuglsang-Frederiksen A, et al. Prognosis of ulnar neuropathy and ulnar neuropathy-like symptoms in relation to occupational biomechanical exposures and lifestyle. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2013; 39:506–514. [PubMed: 23429966]

Table 1

Description of outcomes at follow up (severe Hand pain, functional status limitation on Levine scale and job limitation) among subjects categorized at baseline on clinical status and nerve conduction status.

Outcomes (at follow-up)	Variables (baseline)	Total (N)	Cases (n)	n/N (%)	Odds ratios (crude)
Severe Hand pain	No hand pain	732	111	15.16	1.00 [:]
	Other hand symptoms	82	22	26.83	2.05 [1.21; 3.48]
	Symptoms of CTS no nerve conduction abnormalities	53	16	30.19	2.42 [1.30; 4.50]
	CTS confirmed without ulnar neuropathy	17	10	58.82	7.99 [2.98; 21.44]
	CTS confirmed with ulnar neuropathy	4	3	75	16.76 [1.73; 162.49]
Functional status limitation on Levine scale	No hand pain	732	59	8.06	1.00 [:]
	Other hand symptoms	82	6	7.32	0.90 [0.38; 2.16]
	Symptoms of CTS no nerve conduction abnormalities	53	7	13.21	1.74 [0.75; 4.01]
	CTS confirmed without ulnar neuropathy	17	4	23.53	3.51 [1.11; 11.10]
	CTS confirmed with ulnar neuropathy	4	1	25	3.80 [0.39; 37.13]
Job limitation	No hand pain	732	72	9.84	1.00 [:]
	Other hand symptoms	82	19	23.17	2.76 [1.57; 4.88]
	Symptoms of CTS no nerve conduction abnormalities	53	9	16.98	1.88 [0.88; 4.00]
	CTS confirmed without ulnar neuropathy	17	5	29.41	3.82 [1.31; 11.15]
	CTS confirmed with ulnar neuropathy	4	1	25	3.06 [0.31; 29.76]